

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,081	06/26/2001	Takushi Kaneko	PC10877A 2221		
7	590 05/02/2003				
Gregg C Benson			EXAMINER		
Pfizer Inc Patent Department MS 415			PESELEV, ELLI		
Eastern Point Road Groton, CT 06340		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
<b>.</b>			1623	ic	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	(7)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/892,081		KANEKO ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Elli Peselev		1623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External after - If th - If No - Fail - Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the model patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event n. a reply within the statuto eriod will apply and will a tatute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be timery minimum of thirty (30) daysexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the top to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 April 2003 .					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is n	on-final.				
3) <u></u> Disposit	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und tion of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
,	•	e Examiner.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		25 11 0 0 0 440/-	) (4) (5)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>						
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	I Bureau (PCT R	ule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	a)  The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom						
Attachme	nt(s)						
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) 5		/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/892,081

Art Unit: 1623

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the International Patent WO 00/26244 in view of Agouridas et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,747,467).

The International Patent discloses a closely analogous erythromycin antibiotic (page 3) and a process for preparing the same. The only difference between the claimed compounds and he reference' compounds is at the 2-position i.e. the claimed compounds contain a halogen atom at the 2-position, while the reference's compounds do not. However, since a halogen atom at the 2-position of an erythromycin antibiotic was well known in the art at the time the instant invention was made, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made would have been

Application/Control Number: 09/892,081

Art Unit: 1623

motivated to modify the compounds disclosed by the International Patent at the 2-

position in accordance with the teaching by Agouridas et al because such a person

would have expected the resulting compounds to be useful as antibiotics.

Applicant's response file April 21, 2003 has been considered but has not been

found persuasive insofar as the above rejection relates to the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is 703-308-4616.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 8.30 a.m. - 5.00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 703-308-4624. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1235.

WL PWL Elli Peselev

May 1, 2003

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 Page 3